



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/938,944	08/24/2001	Trung M. Tran	5181-82200	2680	
7590 10/04/2005			EXAMINER		
B. Noel Kivlin			HERNANDEZ, OLGA		
Conley, Rose &	z Tayon, P.C.				
P.O. Box 398		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Austin, TX 78767-0398			2144		
Austin, 1A 76	5/0/-0396		2144		

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/938,944	TRAN, TRUNG M.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Olga Hernandez	2144	

Defense the Filings of an Assessed Daief	00/000,01/	110 111, 1110110 1111	•			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Olga Hernandez	2144				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence addi	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>26 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A						
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:						
	 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In 					
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	on.			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Offic te of the final rejection, e	ate extension fee be action; or (2) as even if timely filed,			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).						
AMENDMENTS 3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because						
 (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the 						
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a)	☐ will not be entered, or b) ☑ wil	l be entered and an e	xolanation of			
how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			·			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-25.</u> Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).	t before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>not</u> it or other evidence is	be entered necessary and			
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	s to provide a			
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER						
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	o(s)/				
13. Other: GLENTON B. BURGESS		\bigvee				
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER Olga Hernandez						
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100	Ö	Examiner Art Unit: 2144				
	i i					

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: it does not overcome the Final Rejection mailed 6/24/05, because the elements argued by the applicant that are not taught by the secondary reference are taught by the first prior art (Shandony) as stated in the final rejection.